

MFM Assessment Plan

Graduate programs overseen by the College of Graduate Studies, including the Master's in Foundations of Medicine are subject to an annual review that provides for continuous quality improvement. The annual process includes an assessment schedule, reporting requirements, and documentation of assessment work, which is assembled in an annual report for review by the college's Graduate Faculty Council (see Use of Assessment Data section below for further details). In addition, a comprehensive program review occurs every seven years.

These assessments are designed to ensure that graduate programs are fulfilling their obligations to students and external accrediting agencies.

All academic programs in the College of Graduate Studies are required to have the following key components: mission statement; program goals and objectives; course objectives; an appropriate variety of methods of assessment; designated timeframes for data collection and analysis; and a plan for the use of assessment data for course and program improvement. These components are detailed below for the Master's in Foundations of Medicine program.

Master's in Foundations of Medicine Mission Statement

***Please Provide

College of Graduate Studies Mission Statement

The mission of the College of Graduate Studies is to instill critical thinking, inspire curiosity, and promote innovation to graduate future generations of scientists, researchers, teachers, and community leaders who will use the power of education, research, and scholarship for positive impact.

Master's in Foundations of Medicine Program Goals

- **1.0** Promote mastery of the basic science information required for success in medical school and as a healthcare provider.
- 2.0 Develop a working understanding of the primary imaging modalities used in healthcare delivery.
- **3.0** Provide an experiential capstone project to practice a healthcare-related activity.
- **4.0** Foster a personal sense of capability and achievement through participating in medical school coursework alongside current medical students prior to beginning medical school.





Master's in Foundations of Medicine Course Objectives

The Master's in Foundations of Medicine course objectives have been aligned with the program objectives.

FALL SEMESTER

MAS 605XX Introduction to Medical Sciences

C	Course Learning Objectives	Program Obj.
1	. To be released at a later date	

MAS 60503 Capstone Project Design

Со	Course Learning Objectives		
1.	Write a proposal for a graduate-level research project which utilizes a modern imaging modality	3.0	
2.	Collect data in a logical and systematic fashion	3.0	
3.	Analyze data utilizing proper statistical techniques	3.0	
4.	Create and present a presentation describing the project, techniques, results, and conclusions to faculty and fellow students	3.0	

MAS 60500 Introduction to Anatomical & Biomedical Imaging

Course Learning Objectives		
Course Learning Objectives		Program
		Obj.
1.	Describe the basic physical principles involved with each medical imaging modality	1.0, 2.0
	(including general radiology, ultrasonography, CT, and nuclear medicine)	
2.	Recognize the importance of patient safety in medical imaging (especially with	1.0, 2.0
	respect to the biological effects of ionizing radiation)	
3.	Utilize modality-specific imaging terminology in the description of normal anatomy	1.0, 2.0
4.	Compare and contrast the appearance of normal anatomic structures on a multitude	1.0, 2.0
	of imaging modalities (and to utilize this burgeoning medical imaging knowledge	
	to strengthen their knowledge of the basic sciences)	
5.	Formulate a very basic differential diagnosis during group discussions of actual and	1.0
	virtual clinical cases	
6.	Demonstrate a basic understanding of the appearance of pathologic conditions	1.0
	during actual clinical experiences	
7.	Create concise (virtual) case presentations using pre-selected medical imaging	1.0, 2.0
	topics, linking the imaging content to basic science concepts of anatomy and	
	physiology	





MAS 60515 Human Architecture and Composition Module

Со	Course Learning Objectives		
1.	Summarize the musculoskeletal, immune, integumentary, and sensory systems of the body at the embryological, gross-anatomical, and histological levels.	Obj. 1.0	
2.	Explain the micro- to macroscopic integration of anatomical structure.	1.0	
3.	Classify the body's organ systems based on topographic location and functional relationship within the body.	1.0	
4.	Apply team learning skills to investigate human anatomical organization through cadaver dissection.	1.0	

MAS 60516 Cardiovascular, Pulmonary and Renal Module

Course Learning Objectives		Program Obj.
1.	Demonstrate a knowledge of how cellular processes (e.g. cell signaling, membrane transport) effect physiological systems	1.0, 4.0
2.	Describe the structure of the autonomic system and how its function directly impacts the function of the cardiovascular, pulmonary, and renal systems	1.0, 4.0
3.	Describe the development, histology, and gross anatomy of the cardiovascular system	1.0, 4.0
4.	Demonstrate an understanding of heart and blood vessel function and how they facilitate blood flow around the body in the normal state and disease	1.0, 4.0
5.	Demonstrate an understanding of how blood flow is controlled via both neural and endocrine systems	1.0, 4.0
6.	Characterize how changes in heart function and blood flow occur during instances of exercise and disease	1.0, 4.0
7.	Describe the development, histology, and gross anatomy of the pulmonary system	1.0, 4.0
8.	Describe the ventilation/perfusion mechanics of the pulmonary system	1.0, 4.0
9.	Articulate how gases are exchanged between lung alveoli and blood vessels	1.0, 4.0
10	. Define how respiratory gases are transported to blood and alveoli	1.0, 4.0
11	Demonstrate an understanding of how breathing is controlled via autonomic receptors in response to chemical and mechanical stimuli	1.0,4.0
12	Describe the development, histology, and gross anatomy of the urinary system	1.0, 4.0
13	Demonstrate an understanding of renal structure and function on blood pressure, mineral balance, and acid-base	1.0, 4.0
14	Demonstrate an understanding of pH homeostasis in renal and pulmonary systems	1.0, 4.0





SPRING SEMESTER

COGS 65301 Responsible Conduct of Research

Course Learning Objectives		Program Obj.
1.	Describe best practices and responsible conduct in human and non-	1.0
	human subjects research	
2.	Describe best practices in mentorship, authorship, and management of research	1.0
	protocols	
3.	To apply ethical principles to the conduct of research	1.0
4.	To analyze cases using philosophical arguments and counterarguments, resolving	1.0
	ethical dilemmas in healthcare policies and practices	

MAS 60518 Neurobiology

Course Learning Objectives		Program Obj.
1.	Summarize the musculoskeletal, immune, integumentary, and sensory systems of the body at the embryological, gross-anatomical, and histological levels.	1.0, 4.0
2.	Explain the micro- to macroscopic integration of anatomical structure.	1.0, 2.0, 4.0
3.	Classify the body's organ systems based on topographic location and functional relationship within the body.	1.0, 2.0, 4.0
4.	Apply team learning skills to investigate human anatomical organization through cadaver dissection.	1.0, 4.0

MAS 60517 Gastrointestinal, Reproductive and Endocrine Module

Course Learning Objectives		Program
		Obj.
1.	Describe the development, histology, and gross anatomy of the Gastro-intestinal	1.0, 4.0
	system	
2.	Describe the innervation of the GI system and neural control of the GI system	1.0, 4.0
3.	Demonstrate an understanding of the regulatory substances for normal GI function	1.0, 4.0
4.	Define how normal digestion and absorption happens in the GI system	1.0, 4.0
5.	Define carbohydrate metabolism, digestion and absorption and hormonal regulation	1.0, 4.0
6.	Define protein metabolism, digestion and absorption, and hormonal regulation	1.0, 4.0
7.	Describe the development, histology and gross anatomy of the GI accessory organs	1.0, 4.0
8.	Demonstrate an understanding of normal liver function	1.0, 4.0
9.	Define cholesterol and triglyceride metabolism, digestion and absorption, and	1.0, 4.0
	hormonal regulation	
10	. Demonstrate an understanding of the microbiome and mucosal immunity	1.0, 4.0
11	Describe the development, histology and gross anatomy of the endocrine system	1.0, 4.0



	Northeast	Ohio
No.	MEDICAL HNIV	EDSITY

MEDICAL UN	IVEDCITY
12. Demonstrate an understanding of the hypothalamic-pituitary relationship	1.0, 4.0
13. Demonstrate an understanding of the adrenal medulla and mineral metabolism	1.0, 4.0
14. Demonstrate an understanding of the thyroid gland, thyroid hormones; Hyper- and hypothyroidism	1.0, 4.0
15. Demonstrate an understanding of the endocrine pancreas and glucose regulation,	1.0, 4.0
Type I, Type II Diabetes mellitus	
16. Describe the development, histology and gross anatomy of the male and female	1.0, 4.0
reproductive system	
17. Demonstrate an understanding of male and female normal physiology	1.0, 4.0
18. Demonstrate an understanding of pregnancy, fetal development and newborn	1.0, 4.0
physiology	

MAS 60511 Advanced Anatomical and Biomedical Imaging

Course Learning Objectives		Program
		Obj.
1.	Describe the basic physical principles involved with each medical imaging modality,	1.0, 2.0
	including radiation oncology, magnetic resonance imaging, and interventional	
	radiology (in addition to general radiology, nuclear medicine, ultrasonography, and	
	computerized axial tomography)	
2.	Demonstrate a basic understanding of image processing technique and image	1.0, 2.0
	processing terminology	
3.	Recognize the importance of patient safety in medical imaging (especially with	1.0, 2.0
	respect to the biological effects of ionizing radiation)	
4.	Utilize modality-specific imaging terminology in the description of normal anatomy	1.0, 2.0
5.	Compare and contrast the appearance of normal anatomic structures on a multitude	1.0, 2.0
	of imaging modalities (and to utilize this burgeoning medical imaging knowledge to	
	strengthen their knowledge of the basic sciences)	
6.	Formulate a very basic differential diagnosis during group discussions of actual and	1.0, 2.0
	virtual clinical cases	
7.	Demonstrate a basic understanding of the appearance of pathologic conditions	1.0, 2.0
	during actual clinical experiences	
8.	Create concise (virtual) case presentations using pre-selected medical imaging	1.0, 2.0
	topics, linking the imaging content to basic science concepts of anatomy and	
	physiology	

MAS 60504 Capstone Project

Course Learning Objectives	Program Obj.
Write a proposal for a graduate-level research project which utilizes a modern imaging modality	2.0, 3.0





	WINDLE AL IINI	VEDCITY
2.	Collect data in a logical and systematic fashion	3.0
3.	Analyze data utilizing proper statistical techniques	3.0
4.	Create and present a presentation describing the project, techniques, results, and	3.0
	conclusions to faculty and fellow students	

Assessment Methods

The College of Graduate Studies encourages the use of a variety of assessment methods to support student learning, demonstrate faculty, course, and program effectiveness, and identify areas/elements for improvement. Direct and indirect assessment methods include summative and formative feedback to students, faculty, and program directors. The following table illustrates the recurring assessment cycles that provide important data and feedback to courses and programs.

Assessment Schedule	Assessment Methods	Assessment Type	Conducts Assessment	Evaluates/Analyzes Assessment Data
Throughout Semester	AssignmentsDiscussionsExams	Direct	Course	Program
End of each course offering	Course Evaluations	Indirect	Institutional Research	Program
End of each course offering	Instructor Evaluations	Indirect	Institutional Research	Program
Annually	End of the Year Survey	Indirect	Institutional Research	College
Annually	Student Satisfaction Survey	Indirect	Institutional Research	College
At completion of program	Graduation Survey	Indirect	Institutional Research	College
Every seven (7) years	Program Review	Indirect	Institutional research	College





Use of Assessment Data

The College identifies who will receive the analyzed indirect assessment data, and how it will be used by the program. For example, data can be shared with the program's advisory committee to inform curricular changes and development, and/or the instructional faculty for course feedback. Program changes and improvements should be recommended as needed in response to the analysis of assessment data. Such activities are documented and reported in an annual report as requested by the College of Graduate Studies Graduate Faculty Council each June.

In the above-referenced context we offer specific materials for review for the MAS program. Please note that some of this work is still in process.

Examples of Assessment Tools

Two assessment tools are included as attachments (below) as examples of the direct assessment activities that students in the Master's in Foundations of Medicine program will be asked to undertake. The first example illustrates a case presentation assignment from the Fall semester Introduction to Anatomy & Biomedical Imaging (Intro ABI) course. The second example is an evaluation assignment from the Spring semester Capstone Project course.

- Master's in Foundations of Medicine Assignments
 - o COGS Assignment MAS Intro ABI General Radiography Case Presentation
 - o COGS Assignment MAS Capstone Project Project Facilitator Evaluation

Additional Assessment Activities

Additional documentation that provides detailed information on indirect assessments are included as attachments (below). This information includes course and instructor evaluations, a student satisfaction survey, end of year survey, graduate exit survey, and materials detailing the seven-year graduate program review process.

Assessment Tools

- o <u>Survey Graduate Course Evaluation</u>
- o Survey Graduate Instructor Evaluation
- Survey Graduate Student Satisfaction Survey
- o Survey End of Year Survey
- o Survey Graduate Exit Survey
- o Program Review Process





o Graduate Program Review – Self-Study





Discussion: Social and Cultural Influences

Point value: 30 points (20 points initial post; 10 points reply post)

Instructions

After reviewing the content in this module, specifically the video clips from the *Unnatural Causes* documentary series, answer the questions below.

Initial Post

Please provide several sentences for each of the following questions:

- 1. What did you think of the quote, "It's like saying that if aspirin cures a fever, then lack of aspirin causes a fever?" What does this mean for you/us as health care providers?
- 2. What is the difference between "choice" vs. "option?"
- 3. How might one's level of stress impact health?

Provide a detailed response (approximately 2-3 paragraphs) to the final question. Your answer should reflect both the content and topics covered in the module as well as your experience in the pharmacy setting.

4. If the major drivers of health are external to the health care delivery system, does that change the way we think about our work? Our organization of services?

(Note: you must post to the Discussion Forum first before you can see the responses of your peers.)

Reply Post

After making your initial post, respond to at least one peer. Compare your responses.
 Did your classmate point out anything that you hadn't considered or an idea that persuaded you to think differently? What do you think attributed to the similarities and





differences in your responses? If you disagree with their responses, provide rational from your professional experience and/or the content provided in the module.

Grading Criteria

Your **initial post** is worth 20 points, and will be graded on the quality of the following components:

- Post includes thoughtful, professional responses to all questions. Thoughtful responses mean:
 - o all portions of each question are answered
 - o responses demonstrate reflective thought
 - o responses draw on accurate facts and relevant content from the course materials and outside resources.
 - o post uses good sentence structure, grammar, and punctuation
 - o the response is professional in tone, adhering to netiquette guidelines.

Your **reply post** to at least one peer is worth 10 points, and will be graded on the quality of the following components:

- You meaningfully compare your responses and discuss any points that you hadn't considered in your initial response.
- You describe how and why your perspective is similar to or varies from that of your classmate.
- You provide a rational for any disagreements.

Submission Information

- Please create your own Thread in the Discussion Forum, "Social and Cultural
 Influences" and type your posts directly in the text editor. Do not attach a separate file
 with your response.
- Your initial post is due Thursday by 11:59 ET. Your reply post to at least one peer is due Sunday by 11:59 ET. If possible, create a reply to a peer who has not yet had any feedback on their initial post.





• I strongly encourage you to keep a copy of your response in a Word document in case any technology issues occur with your journal entry. It is always best practice to save a copy of your work as a backup. You may want to type directly in the text editor, then copy and paste into a Word document, etc. before hitting "Submit". Copying from an MS Word document into the text editor can often be problematic for formatting, but you could also try copying and pasting from a text editor application like Notepad. No extensions will be granted because of technological issues with posting your entry.





Assignment: Critical Analysis

Point Value: 25

Assignment Overview

For this assignment, we will conduct a critical analysis of a court opinion or two to compare/contrast similar cases. The goal is to understand and explain how the court reached its conclusions in a particular case, in order to determine how that opinion might impact another, similar case.

Guidelines

- 1. Your assignment submission should be 3 to 5 pages in length.
- 2. Via critical analysis, you will explain at least one legal case. Choose one of the legal cases discussed in class, from the assigned readings.
- 3. Your explanation of the case should include the following key points: the relevant facts, how the court resolved the case (the judgment), and how the court reached its decision (explain court's reasoning).
- 4. Next, select a second case to compare/contrast with the first case. Your second case should be similar to the first case, in facts and/or law. The second case may be another legal case we discuss in class; or, if you prefer, you may use an external true story (be sure to cite an online news article or some credible source if you go this route).
- 5. Fully explain the details of the second case as well (including key points mentioned in #2).
- 6. Finally, you will compare/contrast the facts, outcomes, and legal reasoning of your two cases. The following questions are mere suggestions for discussion in your critical analysis:
 - a. What makes the two cases different? How are the facts similar/dissimilar?
 - b. Were your two cases decided by the same court? You may not have access to this information if your second case is not from the textbook.
 - c. C. Was the legal issue exactly the same in both cases? Or was the court faced with a different question in the second case?
 - d. Did the court make a decision in one case that contradicted its decision in another? If so, how?
- 7. Wrap up with a conclusion. I have suggested some guiding questions below. Again, you do not have to use these. Just be sure that your conclusion summarizes your main arguments and opinions.
 - a. What are the main take-aways? What can we learn from these cases?
 - b. b. Did the court rule appropriately, in your opinion?
 - c. c. Do you agree with the outcome of your cases? Why or why not?





d. Were these cases consistent or inconsistent with each other? Why do you think that is?

Submission Information

Upload your assignment as either an MS Word doc or pdf. Name your file as: Last name_Critical Analysis

Technical Support

Need help using Canvas Assignments? If so, please review the following guide:

• Canvas Student Guide Table of Contents - Assignments





Course Evaluation

Strongly Agree (SA) - Agree (A) - Disagree (D) - Strongly Disagree (SD) - Not Applicable (N/A)

Course Content:

- 1. The course was well organized.
- 2. Learning objectives were clearly stated for all lectures and activities.
- 3. Graded content was based on the stated learning objectives.
- 4. The material in the course was presented at a level appropriate to my stage of training.

Comments about the course content:

Content Delivery:

- 5. The lectures, readings, or other course content was appropriate for optimal learning.
- 6. The amount of reinforcement of previous concepts was appropriate for optimal learning.
- 7. Knowledge gained in the course was reinforced by examples, assignments, projects.
- 8. If applicable, the laboratory/small group sessions were useful/helpful.
- 9. If applicable, the On-line discussions were useful/helpful.
- 10. The content in this course will be useful in future applications.

Comments about the content delivery:

Supplemental course materials:

- 11. The syllabus provided clear expectations.
- 12. The text and/or other assigned readings was useful.
- 13. Materials provided on AIMS/Blackboard/Springboard were useful.
- 14. Materials on AIMS/Blackboard/Springboard were easy to access.

Comments about course materials:

Overall rating

15. Overall this course met the objectives stated in the syllabus.

Comments about the course overall:

What were the most useful aspects of this course?

Any additional comments:





Instructor Evaluation

Strongly Agree (SA) Agree (A) Disagree (D) Strongly Disagree (SD)

The instructor:

- 1. Stated the course objectives.
- 2. Demonstrated knowledge of the topic.
- 3. Presented concepts in a clear and organized manner.
- 4. Discussed material at a level that was appropriate to my stage of training.
- 5. Made effective use of time.
- 6. Emphasized key points.
- 7. Spoke clearly.
- 8. Worked to engage the learners.
- 9. Summarized the course modules effectively.
- 10. The course instructor was present throughout the course by providing timely feedback, grading assignments, participating in discussions, etc.
- 11. Demonstrated respect for learners.
- 12. Displayed a positive attitude about teaching the material.
- 13. Was accessible for questions.
- 14. Overall, the teaching was effective.

Comments:

What did the instructor do that was most effective?

What could the instructor do to improve his/her teaching?

Other observations/recommendations:





Student Satisfaction Survey

- 1. What COGS Degree Program are you currently enrolled in?
- 2. Year in Program? 1 6
- 3. Upon reflection of this academic year, I think that, overall, the curriculum was well organized.
- 4. There was an integration of basic science concepts and clinical concepts in the curriculum.
- 5. I was provided with an adequate number of active learning experiences (e.g., small group discussions, interviewing).
- 6. The curriculum provided adequate opportunity for independent learning.
- 7. There was an adequate use of technology (e.g., Learning Management System, web-based lab activities) throughout the year.
- 8. The use of technology enhanced the quality of my learning experience.
- 9. I received timely feedback on my academic progress during the year.
- 10. There was a variety of assessment strategies during the year, e.g., written tests, lab practicals, presentations, feedback on essays, etc.
- 11. The number of assessments throughout the year was adequate.
- 12. In general, the assessments that determined my final grades reflected the learning objectives of the courses.
- 13. The curriculum fosters intellectual dialogue between students and faculty.
- 14. The curriculum helped me enhance my communication skills.
- 15. The curriculum helped me better understand the meaning of professionalism with regard to my future career.
- 16. The presence of medical and pharmacy students enhanced the interprofessional classes.
- 17. The curriculum during this academic year gave me a good start to my professional future.
- 18. I feel that NEOMED has provided an environment conducive to learning.





End of the Year Survey

The purpose of the following questions is to get feedback about your level of satisfaction with your educational experience to date. Your responses will be kept strictly confidential.

Please respond to the following questions with <u>the curriculum as a whole</u> in mind, not just an individual course.

Strongly Agree (SA) Agree (A) Disagree (D) Strongly Disagree (SD) Unable to Evaluate (U)

Curriculum Competencies - This academic year's curriculum...

- 1. This year's curriculum provided me adequate opportunities to enhance my communication skills.
- 2. This year's curriculum provided adequate **opportunity** to work on my own learning goals.
- 3. This year's curriculum **integrated** basic science concepts and helped me to apply them to less familiar clinical problems and concepts.
- 4. This year's curriculum fostered intellectual dialogue between students and faculty.
- 5. I felt the background **knowledge and skills** that I had at the start of the year prepared me to do well in this year's curriculum.
- 6. I felt that this year's learning **expectations** were adequately defined.
- 7. I feel confident that this year's curriculum adequately **prepared** me for next year's tasks.
- 8. I feel confident that the curriculum gave me a good **foundation** for my future as a health care professional.
- 9. I was given an adequate number of **active learning experiences** (e.g., small group discussions, labs, CSAs, online experiences).
- 10. I received adequate and timely **feedback** on my academic progress (including non-graded feedback like performance comments or practice questions).
- 11. There was an adequate variety of **graded assessment strategies** (e.g., written tests, lab practicals, presentations, feedback on essays).
- 12. In general, the assessment **outcomes** provided a fair representation of my efforts and achievement.
- 13. The overall amount of curricular work I was expected to do this year was appropriate.

When do you feel most engaged in classroom activities?





Comments about curriculum competencies?

Opportunities to address missions outside of curriculum - *NEOMED provided me an opportunity to...*

- 1. Participate in a scholarly or research project.
- 2. Participate in a community health-related activity (project, volunteer, etc.).
- 3. Be involved in extracurricular **primary care** oriented activities.
- 4. Volunteer in or interact with **underserved** populations.

Comments about extracurricular learning opportunities:

Learning Environment-

- 1. My **student peers** are supportive of my professional goals.
- 2. I am not subjected to offensive remarks by NEOMED students.
- 3. In general, the **faculty** I encounter are supportive of my professional goals.
- 4. I am not subjected to offensive remarks by NEOMED faculty or staff.
- 5. NEOMED **faculty** respond to student concerns effectively.
- 6. The **dean's office** responds to student concerns effectively.
- 7. NEOMED **University offices** (e.g. the President's office, Registrar, Library, etc.) respond to student concerns effectively.
- 8. NEOMED Educational Facilities in **Rootstown** were adequate to support my learning needs this year
- 9. NEOMED **Affiliated Clinical Sites** had adequate facilities to support my learning needs this year
- 10. I know the procedures for reporting mistreatment of medical students.

What is the best part of the learning environment?

Other comments about the learning environment:

Overall

1. I feel that NEOMED has provided an environment conducive to learning.

General comments:

Thank you for your help. Your feedback is essential.





Graduate Exit Survey

- 1. What COGS Degree Program are you currently enrolled in?
- 2. Year in Program? 1 6
- 3. What course did you find to be the most beneficial? Why
- 4. What course did you find to be the least beneficial? Why
- 5. What part of your lab experience did you find the Most enjoyable? Why?
- 6. What part of your lab experience did you find the Least enjoyable? Why?
- 7. What knowledge, skill or ability that you have developed since the beginning of the program do you think has been or will be the most valuable for you?
- 8. How would you rate the advising you received in the program?
- 9. Were programmatic expectations clear?
- 10. How would you improve the Graduate program?
- 11. How would you improve the NEOMED College of Graduate Studies student experience?
- 12. What advice do you have for incoming students?
- 13. What are your short and long term career goals?
- 14. Have they changed since you began this program?
- 15. Do you feel the degree earned in the program has properly equipped you for your chosen career?





Graduate Program Review Process

Purpose of Review

The purpose of the review is to evaluate the performance of graduate degree programs in the College of Graduate Studies in the context of the mission, goals and standards of the College.

Programs are to be reviewed every seven years. The Dean of Graduate Studies, in consultation with the Graduate Faculty Council will develop the schedule of reviews.

The review will include an assessment of the degree to which the program is meeting its mission and goals, its curriculum, capacity and resources.

An appointed review committee will gather data in order to understand, assess, and make recommendations to the Dean of Graduate Studies about the program under review.

Review Process

In July, the Office of the Dean, College of Graduate Studies notifies the appropriate program director that their program is to be reviewed.

The review process begins with a self-study conducted by the program faculty resulting in a Self-Study Report.

The review committee will consist of three to five members; at least one of them must be an external reviewer. Names of potential external reviewers are submitted by the program director to the Dean of Graduate Studies by September 1. The external reviewers should be experts in the discipline being reviewed, senior in rank, have experience in administration, and should have no professional or personal conflict of interest with the program under review or members of its faculty. The review committee will be selected by Graduate Faculty Council and recommended for appointment to the Dean.

The Self-study Report will be prepared by the program director. If an academic program is accredited by an outside body, the most recent accreditation report may be submitted along with, but not in lieu of, the self-study report. The self-study report is submitted electronically to the Dean by January 15. The self-study report will be provided to the review committee and the Office of the President. The format and content of the Self-study Report for the College of Graduate Studies can be found in the COGS Program Review Self-Study Report Form.

Reviewers will be provided with the Self-study Report. The review committee will then proceed to





schedule interviews with key stakeholders including the dean(s) of the college(s) housing the coursework and appropriate chairperson(s). It is further suggested that the review team meet with current and former students and individuals playing a key support role for the program. The Office of the Dean will provide administrative support to the Review Committee. The **Review Committee Report** is due to the Dean by April 1. The Review Committee Report is provided to the Dean of Graduate Studies, Office of the President, and the program director.

The program director has the option to prepare a document in response to the Review Committee Report. The purpose of the response document is to correct factual errors or errors of interpretation. The response document is due to the Dean of Graduate Studies within two weeks of receipt of the Review Committee Report. This response document will be also provided to the Office of the President.

The program director, after consultation with appropriate faculty bodies, completes the **Preliminary Action Plan** based on suggestions and recommendations from the Review Committee Report. The Preliminary Action Plan should include specific action items to be completed over the next two years; action items should be prioritized. Each item should specify measures and performance standards, as well as an estimated completion date. The Preliminary Action Plan should be submitted to the Dean of Graduate Studies at least one week prior to the Action Plan Meeting.

The Action Plan Meeting will include the Dean of the College of Graduate Studies, the program director and the chair of the review committee. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the Review Committee Report and agree upon a final action plan.

Action Plan Report: The final Action Plan Report is due to the Office of the Dean of Graduate Studies within one month after the Action Plan Meeting.

Two years after the conclusion of the review, the program director will submit a report to the Dean on progress made on each item identified in the Action Plan. A second Update Report may be requested at a later date if insufficient progress has been made.





COLLEGE OF GRADUATE STUDIES

PROGRAM REVIEW SELF-STUDY REPORT

(Due from the Program Director to the College of Graduate Studies Office by MONTH/DAY)

Date of Submission:	
Program Name/Degree:	
Program Director:	
Endorsement	ts
The undersigned attest that, to the best of their kr this report is accurate, complete, and reflects the b and students to provide a detailed description of program under review.	est efforts of the program faculty, staff,
Program Director	Signature
Department/Unit Head	Signature

SELF-STUDY REPORT

The Program Director is to prepare a self-study report using the following template. The completed self-study provides the College of Graduate Studies Program Evaluation Committee with a description and internal assessment of the program under review. The self-study assists the Program Evaluation Committee to understand, assess, and make recommendations about the program under review.

I. MISSION, GOALS, AND CONTEXT

- A. Describe the program under review. Indicate the mission, nature, unique characteristics, goals, and objectives of the program including teaching, research, community engagement, and outreach activities. Explain how the program aligns with the mission of the University and the College of Graduate Studies. Identify the relationship of the program under review to other programs at NEOMED, especially in terms of mutual support, shared faculty, shared course requirements, and/or shared facilities.
- B. Please complete the following table based on the last three academic years.

Graduate Student Enrollment	Masters: Doctoral:	
Number of Graduate Degrees/Certificates Awarded	Certificates: Masters: Doctoral:	
Number of Adjunct Graduate Faculty		
Number of Graduate Faculty		
Number of Tenure-Track Faculty		
Number of Full-time Non-Tenure-Track Faculty		
Total Number of Graduate Assistantships Awarded	Masters: Doctoral:	
Total Number of Other Student Stipends Awarded	Masters: Doctoral:	
Provide Link to Program's Website		

II. CURRICULUM, FACULTY, STUDENTS, AND RESOURCES:

A. Curriculum: Summarize degree or certificate requirements and provide commentary on significant features of the curriculum. List all required core courses, elective courses, and total hours required for degree or certificate completion. The list of courses should provide specific course titles and numbers.

Required Courses:	Elective Courses:

Total hours required for degree/certificate completion:

B. **Faculty**: Complete the following table to list all faculty participants, their titles, percent effort (will follow faculty workload policy), academic home department and college, and an indication of their graduate faculty status.

Faculty Name	Percent Effort	Date of Appt.	Status (e.g., tenure track)	Rank	Race/Ethnicity	Gender

Submit an up-to-date curriculum vitae for each faculty member.

C. **Students Admitted**: Describe entrance requirements, if any, such as GPA; GRE; degree status (i.e., non-degree, degree, provisional); baccalaureate required, etc.

Complete the ethnicity and gender table below for <u>current</u> students.

Race/Ethnicity	Male	Female
Hispanic/Latino (one or more races)		
One race, American Indian/Alaska Native		
One race, Asian		
One race, Black/African American		
One race, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		
One race, White		
More than one race (not Hispanic/Latino)		
Ethnicity/race unknown or not stated		

Please respond to the following questions about students in your program. If not applicable, indicate that.

- 1. What resources do you provide to support the career development and placement of your students?
- 2. Describe program-arranged graduate student internship and external placements (in education, government, or private sector). What role do these placements have in your program? How is (1) student performance and (2) placement/internship quality assessed by your program? What changes, if any, have been made based on your evaluation process?
- 3. Are there persistent problems that students experience which inhibit their successful completion of the program? What strategies are employed by the program to remove these barriers to success?
- 4. What role do faculty play in the mentoring of students? How is faculty mentoring evaluated and what have you learned from these evaluations? What changes, if any, have been made based on your evaluation process?
- 5. How is student performance assessed? How is the assessment process evaluated and what have you learned from these evaluations?
- 6. How do you identify students who are not making progress? What support/counseling do you provide for these students?
- 7. What efforts have been made to support students who have special challenges such as those coming from underrepresented groups, different cultures or those with disabilities? Are support services (tutoring, advisement, interpreting) available for students?
- 8. What proportion of your students receive full (20 hours/week) assistantships? What are the average stipend amounts, ranges and standard deviations for these students? If you provide differential stipend amounts, what are your criteria for determining the amounts?
- 9. What proportion of your students receive half (10 hours/week) assistantships? What are the average stipend amounts, ranges and standard deviations for these students? If you provide differential stipend amounts, what are your criteria for determining the amounts?
- 10. What proportion of your students receive a full tuition waiver? What proportion receive a partial tuition waiver? What are your criteria for making these determinations?
- 11. Describe the duties performed by teaching assistants, research assistants and administrative assistants? How are graduate assistants prepared for and mentored in their duties?

- D. Staff: List support staff involved with the program and their role.
- E. **Resources**: Provide relevant information related to the financial support of the program, including the financial commitment of department(s) and college(s) devoted to this particular program.
- F. **Facilities**: Describe any special facilities that are required for the program, including laboratories, computer facilities, library facilities, or equipment needed for certificate delivery.

III. VIABILITY:

- A. **Course Enrollment**: List courses taken by students in the program during the last three years. Also, provide course enrollment figures and at least three examples of typical syllabi.
- B. **Program Enrollment**: Provide data, in tabular form, indicating the number of applicants, number of applicants admitted and enrolled, total enrollment, and number of individuals completing the program for each of the last three years (Appendix III).

Total number of applicants	Total number of applicants admitted and enrolled	Total enrollment	Total number completing the program
			1 5

C. Enrollment Projections: Identify trends that will influence enrollment over the next five years. Provide enrollment projections and indications of whether presently participating faculty and units will be able to support large projected increases in enrollment.

IV. ASSESSMENT:

- A. Summarize the principal elements that comprise the core of student assessment. Indicate how the elements provide useful information in assessment of student performance.
- B. Provide specific information on how assessment data are used to improve program quality.
- C. Provide information (e.g., survey data) on follow-up studies of graduates to indicate graduate satisfaction with the effectiveness of the educational experience. The surveys should include the results of exit interviews as well as responses of individuals at least three years' following receipt of the degree or Certificate.
- D. Identify strengths and weaknesses of the Degree or Certificate program, as well as any institutional or unit plans for removing weaknesses.